



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,209	03/29/2004	Gunter A. Hofmann	GTI 1360-3	5135

7590 01/25/2007
Daniel M. Chambers
BioTechnology Law Group
658 Marsolan Avenue
Solana Beach, CA 92075-1931

EXAMINER

KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3763

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/25/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,209	HOFMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher D. Koharski	3763	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher D. Koharski.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: ____

(3) Daniel Chambers (voicemail).

(4) _____.


Date of Interview: 17 January 2007
Time: 2:05pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*
Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a
Claims discussed:
n/a
Prior art documents discussed:
n/a
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Christopher D. Koharski

CDK 1/17/07
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was advised that the reply filed 11/22/2006, did not include enough payment for the extension of time required; the last office action mailed 5/22/2006, was due by 6/22/2006, Applicant responded on 11/22/2006, with a 3-month extension of time, but 2 more additional months are required.